

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/656,630 | 09/05/2003 | David J. Parins | 1001.1674101 | 8129 |
| 28075 | 7590 12/14/2005 | EXAMINER | | |
| | N, SEAGER & TUFTE LET AVENUE | HOEKSTRA, JEFFREY GERBEN | | |
| SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3736 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | SP | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 10/656,630 | PARINS, DAVID J. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Jeffrey G. Hoekstra | 3736 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versiline to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE | l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| ·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 September 2003</u> . | | | | | |
| , | , — | | | | | |
| | - | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application. | , | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>34-46</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers. | | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 September 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-33, drawn to a product, classified in class 600, subclass 585.
 - II. Claims 34-46, drawn to a process of making, classified in class 557, subclass 487.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case inventions I can be made by another materially different process including the use of adhesives or other mechanically fasteners to form a plurality of discrete affixation points affixing a thermoplastic polymer sleeve to a portion of helically wound coil having a plurality of windings.
- 3. During a telephone conversation with J. Scot Wickhem on 11/29/05 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute invention I, claims 1-33. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 34-46 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Unit: 3736

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 12/05/2003 and 02/28/2005 are acknowledged. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. Accordingly, the examiner is considering the information disclosure statement.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 9, 17, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Richardson et al (2001/0009980). Richardson discloses a guidewire 10, or intracorporal medical device, comprising an elongate shaft 18, a flexible helically wound coil 22 having a plurality of windings disposed about said shaft, a thermoplastic polymer sleeve 28 disposed about said coil, and a plurality of discrete affixation points disposed about the length of said coil wherein affixation points fix the sleeve to two or more coil windings as best seen in Figure 11 (paragraph 28 lines 12-15). It is noted the examiner interprets a plurality of discrete affixation points to include points wherein bonding between two materials occurs, thus a polymer mass adhering/covering a plurality of coil windings wherein affixation points fix the polymer mass to two or more coil windings has an infinite number of discrete affixation points.

Art Unit: 3736

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2-8, 10-16, 18-24, and 26-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Richardson.
- 9. Richardson discloses the claimed invention but does not disclose expressly the geometry/alignment/size of the discrete affixation points. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the guidewire as taught by Richardson with the geometry/alignment/size of the discrete affixation points, because Applicant has not disclosed that the geometry/alignment/size of discrete affixation points provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solve a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with polymer sleeve as taught by Richardson, because it provides multiple polymeric jackets for the purpose of navigating tortuous vasculature and since it appears to be an arbitrary design consideration which fails to patentably distinguish over Richardson.

Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Richardson to obtain the invention as specified in the claim(s).

Art Unit: 3736

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following prior art disclose intracorporal devices capable of substantially similar functions as claimed by applicant: Reynolds et al (2003/0069521), Schaer et al (5882333), Jansen et al (6152912), Rowland et al (EP1208868A2), and DeMello (2002/0049392).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey G. Hoekstra whose telephone number is (571)272-7232. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max F. Hindenburg can be reached on (571)272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

JGH

max f. Hindenburg^{//} Supervisory patent examiner

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700